

An Atlas of Warm AGN and Starbursts from the IRAS Deep Fields¹

William C. Keel, Bryan K. Irby², and Alana May

Department of Physics and Astronomy, University of Alabama, Box 870324, Tuscaloosa, AL 35487

George K. Miley

Sterrewacht Leiden, Postbus 9513, 2300 RA Leiden, The Netherlands

Daniel Golombek

Space Telescope Science Institute, 4700 San Martin Dr., Baltimore, MD 21218

M.H.K. de Grijp

Marijnpoelstraat 37, 2334 CX Leiden, The Netherlands

Jack F. Gallimore

Department of Physics, Bucknell University, Lewisburg, PA 17837

ABSTRACT

We present a set of 180 AGN candidates based on color selection from the IRAS slow-scan deep observations, with color criteria broadened from the initial point-Source Catalog samples so as to include similar objects with redshifts up to $z = 1$ and allowing for two-band detections. Spectroscopic identifications have been obtained for 80 (44%); some additional identifications are secure based on radio detections or optical morphology, although yet unobserved spectroscopically. These spectroscopic identifications include 13 type 1 Seyfert galaxies, 17 type 2 Seyferts, 29 starburst galaxies, 7 LINER systems, and 13 emission-line galaxies so heavily reddened as to remain of ambiguous classification. The optical magnitudes range from $R = 12.0 - 20.5$;

¹Based on observations from the European Southern Observatory, La Silla, Chile; Kitt Peak National Observatory, National Optical Astronomy Observatories, operated by the Association of Universities for Research in Astronomy, Inc., under cooperative agreement with the National Science Foundation; the Isaac Newton and William Herschel telescopes, operated by the Royal Greenwich Observatory on behalf of the SERC at the Spanish Observatorio del Roque de los Muchachos, and Lowell Observatory.

²Current address: L-3 Communications Government Services, Inc., Laboratory for High Energy Astrophysics, NASA/Goddard Space Flight Ctr. Code 664, Greenbelt MD 20771.

the counts suggest that incompleteness is important fainter than $R = 15.5$. Redshifts extend to $z = 0.51$, with a significant part of the sample at $z > 0.2$. Even with the relaxed color criteria, this sample includes slightly more AGN than star-forming systems among those where the spectra contain enough diagnostic feature to make the distinction. The active nuclei include several broad-line objects with strong Fe II emission, and composite objects with the absorption-line signatures of fading starbursts. These AGN with warm far-IR colors have little overlap with the “red AGN” identified with 2MASS; only a single Sy 1 was detected by 2MASS with $J - K > 2$. Some reliable IRAS detections have either very faint optical counterparts or only absorption-line galaxies, potentially being deeply obscured AGN. The IRAS detections include a newly identified symbiotic star, and several possible examples of the “Vega phenomenon”, including dwarfs as cool as type K. Appendices detail these candidate stars, and the optical-identification content of a particularly deep set of high-latitude IRAS scans (probing the limits of optical identification from IRAS data alone).

Subject headings: galaxies: Seyfert — galaxies: starburst — infrared: galaxies — infrared: stars

1. Introduction

Early assessment of galaxies in the IRAS Point-Source Catalog demonstrated that many active galactic nuclei (AGN) have very distinct colors among the IRAS bands, especially $25\text{-}60\mu$ and $60\text{-}100\mu$ (de Grijp et al 1985, 1987, 1992; Low et al. 1988). This meant that large samples of AGN could be winnowed very effectively from the IRAS catalogs, to form an all-sky sample which would prove to consist mostly of Seyfert galaxies. Such samples, selected on infrared properties, are important in studying the importance of obscuration in Seyfert galaxies, and in probing possible low-redshift evolution of IR-selected galaxies. These samples are dominated by AGN which are luminous in comparison to IR emission from the surrounding galaxy, since the IRAS colors refer to the entire galaxy, combining the AGN and surrounding galaxy at these distances.

In addition to the all-sky survey, IRAS also observed $\approx 10^3$ fields in a slow-scan mode to deeper flux levels, in the “additional observations” or AOs. These were usually targeted around some object of particular interest, but their typical $0.5 \times 1.5^\circ$ extent meant that a substantial region was also observed serendipitously. We report here a study of objects

selected from these IRAS AO data, using color criteria based on the results of the earlier studies but widening the color ranges to include typical “warm” AGN at redshifts as high as $z = 1$. These fields go 3–7 times deeper than the Point-Source Catalog (depending on ecliptic latitude and number of overlapping AO observations of each field). Such a deep sample could probe intrinsically rare objects, and span enough redshift range to detect strong evolution. Since the IR detections pertain to the whole galaxies, we expected that much of the sensitivity gain would result in finding similar objects to greater distance, rather than going deeper into the local AGN luminosity as often happened with X-ray surveys. An initial report on the data processing and first AGN identifications was presented by Keel et al. (1988).

The slower-scan AO observing mode resulted in less precise positions than the all-sky survey, which combined with the fainter flux limits to make optical identifications more difficult. In many cases, slitless spectroscopy or radio emission was used to find the most probable far-IR source for optical spectroscopy. Even with the broadened far-IR color criteria, we still find that half of our identifications are spectroscopically classified as AGN, and some of the remainder have ambiguous emission-line classifications due to substantial reddening.

2. Sample and observations

2.1. Candidate selection

We constructed initial source lists using the data holdings at Leiden. Each set of overlapping AO scans (or individual scans with no overlap) in the 1040 fields centered at galactic latitudes $b > 20^\circ$ was coadded in the sky plane, extracting sources using the Westerbork algorithm. In this algorithm, sources are identified based on number of pixels above a set threshold in signal-to-noise ratio (typically 5σ , with fluxes evaluated by fitting a template point-spread function centered on these source positions. These source lists were filtered for transient glitches by comparison of individual scans wherever multiple observations were taken; sources where no such confirmation was possible were flagged (NC in Table 1). Color selection used the fluxes extracted with a point-source template from the coadded data. In some cases, we could derive improved flux data by incorporating *scanpi* processing of co-added survey data, where a large number of passes were available at high ecliptic latitudes. Our color criteria were based on the PSC AGN results (de Grijp et al. 1985, 1987), extended to include typical AGN spectra at redshifts up to $z = 1$. Expressed in spectral indices among the IRAS bands, they included the ranges $\alpha_{25,60} = [-1.5, +0.5]$ or $\alpha_{60,100} = [-0.8, +0.5]$ where spectral indices are given in the convention $F_\nu \propto \nu^\alpha$, so that F_ν

dropping to longer wavelengths means $\alpha > 0$. These spectral indices are evaluated simply from the in-band fluxes with no finite-passband corrections, whose effect stretches the color space at extreme values. These ranges are designed to exclude stellar photospheres ($\alpha \approx 2$) and as much as possible of the cold dust emission from “normal” galaxies.

To allow for sources near the detection limit, we now accept sources with an unusually “warm” spectral shape from either $12 - 25\mu$ or $60 - 100\mu$ even when there is no detection in the adjacent passband.

This effort was parallel to, but independent of, the IRAS Serendipitous Survey Catalog (SSC, Kleinmann et al. 1986). There is substantial overlap in the objects included with both processing streams, but differences in data handling meant that some objects passed our color criteria in only one survey; for completeness, we incorporated objects which passed our color thresholds as measured by either project.

We adopted the position measured at the shortest wavelength with a significant detection. However, the IRAS AO positions are inherently less certain than those from the PSC, for two reasons. The shorter arcs of these observations mean that the entire orbital attitude solution from stellar transits could not be used to refine the spacecraft attitude. Furthermore, attempts to measure offsets for each field using bright stars detected at 12μ showed that sampling issues limit the accuracy of these positions to about the same level (30–60”, depending on scan direction). After the fact, using well-established optical identifications, objects also included in the IRAS Faint-Source Survey (FSS; Moshir et al. 1992), and radio measurements, we find that a typical error ellipse has radii of about 30×60 arcseconds, longest in the cross-scan direction (roughly parallel to lines of ecliptic latitude). This error ellipse contains about 85% of spectroscopically identified emission-line galaxies and radio-IRAS cross-identifications.

By convention (Keel et al. 1988), these sources were designated as IRAS-L, using truncated 1950 coordinates as in the example IRAS-L 08200+1055, a Sy 1 reported in our earlier paper. Our candidate list is given in Table 1, with the IRAS fluxes and spectral fluxes. The origin of each source’s data, Leiden or Serendipity Survey processing, is given by the code L or S; the appropriate source designation may be derived from this and the listed coordinates, kept at epoch 1950 in this table for consistency with the original measurements. We designate with S those sources which satisfied our search criteria in the SSC processing but not the Leiden processing; upper limits were not listed for the SSC results. Because of infrared cirrus and detector flashing, useful upper limits are not uniformly available for nondetections, signified by a zero in the flux listing and omission of the spectral indices involving the affected bands. A few rich Magellanic Cloud fields and reflection nebulae are omitted here; the list includes some potential stellar identifications

discussed in Appendix A. In addition to the new objects in Table 1, we recovered 61 known AGN in this study, either radio or Seyfert galaxies that were themselves the targets of the AOs or other objects already found from the Point-Source Catalog by de Grijp et al. (1987, 1992). We have attempted to remove from our sample any galaxies which were themselves the target of the AO pointing, although this took some guesswork in a few cases where early IRAS documentation did not record the reason for a pointing.

One region in Hercules was especially well-covered by multiple AO scans, affording a set of far-IR detections to unusually deep levels. As a reconnaissance of the deep far-IR content of the sky, we have examined the identifications of all the IRAS sources in this field regardless of colors, as described in Appendix B.

2.2. Optical Spectroscopy

Optical spectra of candidates, sometimes several for a single IRAS source, were obtained with several instruments. In most cases, the data could be placed on a flux scale through observations of standard stars.

We used the 2.5m Isaac Newton Telescope (INT) with Intermediate-Dispersion Spectrograph (IDS) in two modes, a moderate-resolution mode using the Image Photon-Counting System (IPCS) as detector with realtime readout, and the higher-throughput Faint-Object Spectrograph (FOS) with coarser spectral sampling. With the IPCS, the range 3200-7100 Å was sampled with 2040 pixels covering 2.0 Å each. The FOS data cover 5000-10000 Å, with orders separated by a cross-dispersing prism. Their resolution is set by sampling at 2 pixels, or 21 Å.

Most of the southern candidates were observed using the ESO Faint-Object Spectrograph and Camera (EFOSC) at the ESO 3.6m telescope. The acquisition images from this instrument serve for the photometric and morphological properties of many of these targets. Most data were taken with the “blue” grism (B300, 3800-7000 Å). A 1.5” slit gave spectral resolution of 13 Å FWHM with spectral sampling of 6.9 Å.

With the 3.8m Mayall telescope of Kitt Peak National Observatory, we used the Cryogenic Camera grism system. A 2.5” slit gave resolution for a filled slit of 16 Å FWHM across most of the 4500-8000 Å spectral range covered by grism 770, somewhat degraded at the ends by detector focus. For most objects, nuclear spectra have somewhat better resolution, especially important in deblending the H α and adjacent [N II] lines. Six final objects were identified in 2004 using the MARS enhancement of the Cryogenic Camera, and a 1.8” slit, observing the 4500-10300 Å range essentially free of focus changes. This final

subset was not included in the statistics of positional offsets, since they were identified with knowledge of the radio positions.

Observations at the 4.2m William Herschel Telescope (WHT) used the Faint-Object Spectrograph, with a fixed spectral range of 4600-9500 Å in the first order. A prism cross-disperser removes potential second-order contamination. These data suffered from electronic crosstalk, manifested as a low-level additive Moire pattern, which we reduced by fitting local sine waves in the Fourier domain to the interference. Telluric A and B bands were approximately corrected using observations of the same hot standard stars used for flux calibration. The 1.1" slit gave a spectral resolution limited by Nyquist sampling (2 pixels correspond to 17.4 Å).

A few objects were observed using the GoldCam spectrometer at the Kitt Peak 2.1m telescope. A Loral 3K× 1K CCD covered the range 4460 – 8300 Å with 1.25-Å sampling, at a resolution of 3.8 Å FWHM set by the 2.0" slit width.

Some particularly ambiguous fields were initially observed using slitless spectroscopy at the ESO 3.6m or KPNO 4m telescopes, so that emission-line objects would reveal themselves across the entire IRAS position box.

These optical spectra give us secure identifications and redshifts for 74 of the 180 new candidates. Of these, 35 (47%) are clear active nuclei with Sy 1, Sy 2, or strong LINER spectra. The remained include definite starbursts, some strongly reddened, and objects of ambiguous nature for which we detect H α , [N II], and usually [S II] but none of the bluer lines. Many of these might be either strongly obscured AGN or star-forming systems. The spectroscopic results are given in Table 2. In two cases, 09426-1929 and 17435+3141, we find multiple emission-line objects at nearly the same redshift, and assign the IRAS identification to the object with the strongest line emission. In many cases, we could classify the spectrum using ratios of the strong emission lines, following the precepts of Baldwin, Phillips, & Terlevich (1981) and Veilleux & Osterbrock (1987). These classifications are given in Table 2. For cataloguing purposes, we list the optical coordinates of these objects, as obtained by centroiding around the peak from Digitized Sky Survey DSS2 red-light images.

Spectra of the unambiguous AGN are shown in Fig. 1, except for the composite emission/absorption object 1226+32 (section 4.2).

2.3. Optical imaging

In support of the identification program, as well as providing fluxes and morphological information, we obtained R -band CCD images of most of the candidates from Table 1. These were done between 1986 and 1992 using telescopes at Lowell Observatory, ESO, and Kitt Peak. These data are used to illustrate the identifications (Fig. 2). In each case, the IRAS position is shown by an error ellipse 60×120 arcseconds in size at the appropriate orientation. The spectroscopic or radio identification is marked wherever known. In this atlas, images not otherwise labelled are from the 1.1m Hall telescope of Lowell Observatory. The new imaging is nearly complete north of $\delta = -25^\circ$, and has been supplemented for illustration by red-light images (where available) from the Digitized Sky Survey. Where the identification is clear from spectroscopic or radio data, we present R -band magnitudes of these sources in Table 3. These are mainly intended to guide followup observations; because of the wide range of angular sizes, they may have errors ± 0.2 magnitude from a consistent definition of either isophotal or total values. These objects range from $R = 12.0 - 20.5$, with median 15.7 and quartile points at 14.7 and 17.2. The source counts for objects with secure optical identifications depart strongly from a Euclidean slope for $R > 15.5$, indicating that we are still missing some even at these bright levels. This is certainly due in part to incomplete spectroscopic data.

2.4. Radio fluxes

The strong correlation between far-IR and radio fluxes for both active nuclei and star-forming systems suggest that we can refine the positions of candidate AGN using radio data at higher spatial resolution. We initially observed 33 of these fields using the NRAO³ VLA at 6 cm. After most of the optical observations were finished, the VLA sky surveys (FIRST and NVSS) became available, allowing us to refine the positions and nature of many additional sources. The radio properties, from our own and the survey observations, are given in Table 4. The radio position is taken from the new 6-cm data, the FIRST (Becker et al. 1995) catalog, and the NVSS (Condon et al. 1998), in that order of precision. A correspondence is counted as a counterpart if it lies with $80''$ of the IRAS position. Based on the surface density of FIRST sources at larger projected radii, we expect < 3 sources out of our 67 radio detections to be chance coincidences within this radius, and the statistics of position matches suggest that very few of the IRAS positions are farther off than this.

³The National Radio Astronomy Observatory is a facility of the National Science Foundation operated under cooperative agreement by Associated Universities, Inc.

Locations of the radio-continuum sources are shown by circles in Fig. 2. These coincide with plausible optical galaxies for an additional 12 high-probability identifications for which we do not have optical spectra. There are also another 12 fields where an optical galaxy is so bright as to be a likely identification, but for which we do not have spectroscopic data.

Our new VLA observations used the D array at 6 cm. We targeted 26 IRAS fields, with position and flux results included in Table 4. Among these, there were ten nondetections; 1704+606 is not a sensitive limit, being lost in the sidelobes of 3C 351. Among the detections, most are unresolved using the D array; a few (1439+354, 1712+098, 2028-128) are resolved with Gaussian-fit FWHM in the range 9-36".

In two of the 42 cases with both kinds of identification available, the emission-line galaxy is not the same object as the radio source. It is not clear whether the true far-IR sources have only weak line emission, or whether these are the two chance radio sources that would be expected in this sample. These are 1512+366, where the radio source has $R = 18.84$, while there is an brighter emission-line galaxy at the edge of the IRAS error ellipse; and 1503+272, with comparably faint spectroscopic and radio identifications at opposite sides of the IRAS ellipse.

3. Sample properties

Comparison of the redshift and magnitude distributions with earlier samples can clarify the ways in which these objects extend our knowledge of the far-IR Universe. In particular, we expect this sample to correspond roughly to the color-selected AGN found from the Point-Source Catalog, shifted to larger redshift as a result of the deeper flux limit.

The redshift distribution of the spectroscopic identifications ranges up to $z = 0.51$, with quartiles at $z = 0.035, 0.112$ and median $z = 0.067$. For the Seyfert galaxies, the quartiles are $z = 0.045, 0.11$, with the median and high values the same. Of the entire sample, 10% lie at $z > 0.23$, while 10% of Seyfert galaxies are at $z > 0.18$. For the Seyfert galaxies in the Point-Source Catalog sample (de Grijp et al. 1992), the full range is $z = 0.0026 - 0.91$, with median $z = 0.0383$ and quartiles $z = 0.022, 0.061$. Of these, 10% lie beyond $z = 0.11$. Three of the 226 PSC AGN lie beyond our highest redshift at $z = 0.53$, which is consistent with the much larger PSC sample size and our exclusion of bright, previously known objects from the AO sample. For all 370 extragalactic objects in the PSC “warm” sample, the redshift range spans $z = 0.0009 - 0.91$, with median $z = 0.035$ and quartiles $z = 0.02, 0.056$; 10% of the whole list has $z > 0.092$. The cumulative distributions in redshift are best matched if the AO sample of Seyferts reaches on average $1.8\times$ deeper than the PSC Seyfert, which

implies a typical difference of $3.2\times$ in flux. This confirms that, to first order, the new sample is reaching farther rather than to lower luminosity. The uneven sampling depth means that we see about $4\times$ the number of warm sources that simple scaling from the PSC predicts for the mean depth and area covered.

At each redshift, our criteria include only a fraction of Seyfert galaxies, since they have an intrinsic spread in IR colors and a varying mix of host-galaxy dust emission as well. We can evaluate this fraction at small z directly, using the samples of Markarian and CfA Seyferts (Lipovetskii et al. 1988, Huchra & Burg 1992), with fluxes taken from the IRAS Faint-Source Catalog (FSC). Of the 205 Markarian Seyfert galaxies, 149 were detected by IRAS, of which 110 fall within our color bounds. Nearly half (57) are listed as Sy 1, with the remainder almost evenly split between “intermediate” types 1.2–1.9 and Sey 2. Among the detected Markarian Seyferts, there is strong overlap in FIR luminosity between those included and excluded by our color criteria. The CfA Seyfert nuclei are generally intrinsically fainter, and only 31 of 86 pass these color criteria. Of the remainder, 15 were not detected at all by IRAS. Among these, there is a strong color change with FIR luminosity; the median $L(\text{FIR})$ for objects that would be included in our sample is four times higher than for the ones we reject, which fits with an increasing role for the surrounding cold dust from the host galaxy in fainter nuclei. Sy 1 nuclei slightly outnumber Sy 2’s among the “warm” objects in the CfA sample (15:10), comparable to the ratio (13:17) seen in our newly-found AGN. This surely reflects a bias in the contrast of the AGN emission against the surrounding host galaxy; Alexander (2001) has found that the far-IR spectral shape mostly reflects the ratio of nuclear and disk emission. Some additional Sy 2 objects may be hiding within the galaxies for which we see only the red emission lines, limiting our ability to classify them.

Of the 94 optical and radio identifications, 13 have probable companion galaxies, while another 13 show disturbed morphologies in our images. These are both quite high fractions compared to optically-selected samples, but are not exceptional for samples selected at high far-IR luminosity (see, for example, Gallimore & Keel 1993 and references therein).

4. Active Galactic Nuclei

4.1. Sample properties

As illustrated by the spectra in Fig. 2, the active nuclei in this sample show a range of spectroscopic properties, from classic Fe-rich Sy 1 to strongly obscured objects with only the red emission lines from a narrow-line region detected. Previous infrared-selected

samples show large proportions of Sy 2 objects, since the strong blue continuum of typical Sy 1 no longer introduces a direct selection bias. For example, the sample listed by de Grijp et al. (1992) from the Point-Source Catalog includes 144 Sy 2 and 82 Sy 1 objects. The deeper AO sample fits this trend within our modest statistics. Including Sy 1 with the broad component detected only at $H\alpha$, we find roughly equal numbers of Sy 1 (13) and Sy 2 (17) among our identifications.

Several previous studies have addressed the demographics of AGN selected from colors in the IRAS Point-Source Catalog. The objects listed by de Grijp et al. (1992), selected for $\alpha(25, 60)$ in the range $[-1.5, 0]$, show a preponderance of Sy 2, finding 141 Sy 2 and 80 Sy 1. Our current sample shows a Sy 2:Sy 1 ratio of 17:13. Using the same 25-60 μ m criterion on a limited sample from the Faint-Source Catalog, Gu et al. (1995, 1997) find nine Sy 2 and no Sy 1. Low et al. (1988), using $\alpha(25, 60) < 1.25$, found a high ratio 73:39. These values are broadly consistent with a fraction of $Sy2/(Sy1 + Sy2)$ near 0.65, within binomial statistics from these samples. The sole possible outlier is the small Gu et al. sample, which lies about 3σ from this common mean value (having no broad-line objects). It is thus a general feature of far-IR color selection that roughly twice as many type 2 as type 1 AGN appear, reinforcing previous studies in the number of narrow-line AGN which are missed by many optical surveys. This stands in contrast to the dominance of broad-line objects in samples selected for red $J - K$ colors, consistent with the small fraction of our objects falling in this category.

Some studies have indicated a connection between the far-IR spectral shape of AGN and the strength of the optical Fe II emission multiplets (Lawrence et al. 1988; Low et al. 1989; Lipari, Macchetto, & Golombek 1991; Zheng & Keel 1991). This sample includes a few individual AGN with very strong Fe II. Four of these are noted below.

A few of the obvious Seyfert nuclei in this sample are highly reddened, as shown by broad $H\alpha$ and little or no $H\beta$ emission. There are probably additional reddened Sy 2 galaxies hidden among the ambiguous objects for which we do not detect enough emission lines to make a definite classification, since the range of $[N II]/H\alpha$ for Seyfert 2 and starburst nuclei overlaps.

Of the objects with clear radio or optical counterparts, 33 are detected in the 2MASS survey catalogs. Taking the extended-source entries for objects which also appear in the point-source listing, and combining the near-IR colors with their R magnitudes, shows clear relations between $J - H$ or $H - K$ and $R - K$ (Fig. 3). Objects without spectroscopic identifications (either due to faintness or lack of emission lines) are redder in $R - K$ than the entire sample, while spanning similar ranges of JHK colors. This is at least consistent with some of the IRAS AO sources without an optical identification having

strongly obscured nuclei, either starbursts or AGN. Among objects with spectroscopic identifications, starbursts are bluer across the JHK bands than the few Seyferts detected; some Sy 1 are as red as $J - H = 1.1$, $H - K = 1.3$. However, this far-IR color selection does not (yet?) uncover many of the kind of red AGN identified by Cutri et al. (2000); among the four 2MASS detections of Sy 1 in our sample, only 22212-0221 satisfies their $J - K > 2$ criterion. To be consistent with their measurement of the space densities of type 1 AGN redder and bluer than this limit would require that either the reddest AGN in our sample remain preferentially unidentified, or that red AGN generally exhibit cooler far-IR spectral shapes.

4.2. Individual noteworthy objects

IRAS-L 06229-6434 - The continuum is flat in F_λ , with very strong Fe II emission.

IRAS-L 08020+1055 - The Sy 1 classification is based on the width of $H\alpha$, since $H\beta$ and [O III] are too weak for detection.

IRAS-L 11549+7327 - This is the highest-redshift object we have identified, at $z = 0.51$. Its continuum is very blue, and Fe II emission is strong on either side of $H\beta$.

IRAS-L 12266+3240 - This composite object includes narrow emission lines at a wide range of ionization, as in a LINER or Sy 2, superimposed on the starlight of a fading starburst. This is seen most clearly through the strong absorption at $H\beta$ and $H\gamma$ (Fig. 4). There is a companion projected at $3.5''$ (10 kpc at $z = 0.17$). The stellar population appears similar to that in the nucleus of NGC 4569 (e.g., Keel 1996), in which A-type stars are dominant in the optical.

IRAS-S 14400+3539 - Fe II is strong.

IRAS-L 19051-6233 - The continuum is blue, and Fe II is very strong.

IRAS-S 22212-0221 - The broad Balmer lines are very strong, while Fe II emission is rather weak. This object has the reddest JHK colors among AGN in this sample also detected in the 2MASS survey.

5. Star-forming galaxies

Selecting galaxies for unusually warm far-IR colors isolates some kinds of star-forming objects as well as active nuclei. Many of these are optically very blue. The apparent

paradox of dusty galaxies not always appearing reddened has been discussed by Witt, Thronson, & Capuano (1992) and by Meurer et al. (1995). These authors (among others) point out that when dust and stars are mixed, reddened stars are effectively removed from the observed mix at short wavelengths, so the emerging radiation can be blue (although attenuated from the dust-free case). Scattered light will only accentuate this effect.

A few of these sources are worthy of individual note. In IRAS-L 09426-1929, both members of this strongly interacting pair, MCG -3-25-19 or Arp 252, likely contribute to FIR. The northern component dominates at 20 cm. Both 17235+4602 and 10360-0643 (IC 630, Mkn 1259) have blue continua and strong Wolf-Rayet N IV + He II + C II blends near 4600 Å .

6. Blank fields - faint objects or statistical mirage?

Some of the IRAS sources in our sample have no plausible optical identifications to $R \approx 20$. If real, these are particularly interesting as candidates for high-redshift or heavily-obscured objects. There is precedent for far-IR sources so luminous that we could detect them to high redshifts if we were lucky enough to have one in these fields - the central cluster galaxy with obscured AGN in 09104+4109 appears in the Point-Source Catalog (Kleinmann et al. 1988) at $z = 0.44$, and the lensed object from the Faint Source Survey, 10214+4724, is at $z = 2.3$ (Rowan-Robinson et al. 1991). However, as we go deeper into the IRAS data, there are also concerns about the reality of these sources, especially those seen in only a single scan. The best examples of potential blank-field sources are 02247+1017, 04114+1150, and 13557+6950, each of which passed the multiple-detection test on overlapping IRAS scans. A few other sources have only very faint potential optical counterparts ($R \approx 20$), such as 17467+5600 and 22511-1805; this last one was detected on only a single scan. These objects are not detected in the VLA surveys, except for a possible counterpart of 22551-1805 in the somewhat confused field of MR2251-18.

There are also a few sources in which we found only absorption-line galaxies - both members of the galaxy pair in the IRAS error ellipse for 09156+4213, and the brightest galaxy near 09189+4620. If these are the correct identification for the infrared sources, the cores of these galaxies may be very heavily obscured; and if not, the genuine IR source must be substantially fainter.

Sources with no multiple-observations confirmation (labelled with NC in Table 1) do not seem to be any less reliable than the confirmed sources. Their statistics of spectroscopic and radio identification, and of both together, are in fact better than for the sample as

a whole. Of 19 NC sources, we have spectroscopic emission-line identifications for 10 and radio identifications for 11 (of which nine are in common). Omitting two Galactic objects and an additional bright galaxy without an observed spectrum, there are only 4 unidentified NC sources (21%), compared with 43/180 (24%) of the overall sample for which no optical identification is apparent (including bright galaxies not yet observed in detail). The remaining 4 NC sources are thus good candidates for genuine optically-faint warm IR sources.

7. Summary

We have selected warm far-IR sources from the deep IRAS Additional Observation (AO) data, using color criteria designed to preferentially select warm AGN at redshifts $Z < 1$ from the source population. Of 180 such sources taken from 1040 fields covering ≈ 780 square degrees, we have obtained emission-line identifications for 74 (41%), while VLA survey observations suggest identifications for an additional 20 objects without extant optical spectroscopy. Compared to analogous samples from the IRAS Point-Source Catalog, this sample goes farther in redshift (rather than to lower luminosities) as expected for the deeper flux limit. The median redshift for the entire sample and for identified Seyfert galaxies is identical at $z = 0.066$.

The population of AGN selected in this way includes numerous objects with strongly reddened emission-lines, which may be increased by a class of galaxies in which we see only narrow $H\alpha + [\text{N II}]$ emission, which could be either reddened AGN or dusty starburst systems. We also find several Sy 1 nuclei with very strong Fe II emission, and one composite object with AGN-like emission lines superimposed on a bright, fading starburst population. Likewise, the star-forming galaxies in this group span the full range of optical color from virtually unreddened to highly obscured. Similar objects in the Point-Source Catalog suggest that the youngest starbursts systematically have the warmest far-IR dust distributions (Fehmers et al. 1994), which may fit with the fact that two of the brightest of these objects, among the few with spectra good enough to tell, exhibit strong Wolf-Rayet blends near $4650 \text{ \AA}$.

8. Appendix 1: Stellar Source Identifications

For 10 of these warm IRAS sources, the most obvious optical identification is with a bright star, and for one fainter one, 13446-3625, the sole emission-line object we have

identified has the composite spectrum of a symbiotic star. We summarize here the properties of these stellar IR sources.

A sufficiently bright star has a high probability of being the correct IRAS source identification simply on grounds of low surface density. Based on latitude-averaged star counts, we expect only a single star brighter than $V = 11.0$ by chance within all 180 of the $30 \times 60''$ error ellipses in our survey area. For brighter stars, identifications are quite secure; for example, the chance of a single random star with $V < 6.0$ occurring within any of our search ellipses is < 0.01 .

There are 14 stars with $V < 10.5$ in our sample. Three are catalogued semiregular variables of late spectral type for which circumstellar envelopes would be expected (and these may have been the original targets of the pointed observations). These are 03536-7411 = UY Hyi, 16308-1601 = T Oph, and 09371+3620 = Z LMi. Similarly, 05142-0154 is the T Tauri star HD 290172. One additional fainter star, 13446-3625, turned up in slitless spectra and shows the characteristic combination of a cool stellar continuum plus both low- and high-ionization emission lines for identification as a symbiotic star (Fig. 5). This combination of properties allowed it to masquerade as a Sy 2 galaxy until slit spectroscopy showed just how sharp the TiO bandheads are, and a radial velocity $\approx -40 \text{ km s}^{-1}$.

A few of these stars have been examined from the IRAS all-sky data, although their AO data do not seem to have been hitherto published. Reddy & Parthasarathy (1996) used photometry and optical spectroscopy to classify 05239-0626 (BD $-6^\circ 1178$) as an F2 II star, in a post-AGN phase in which recently ejected dust gives the FIR emission. Patten & Willson (1991) include 05356-2839 (HD 37484) as an (otherwise normal) IR-excess star based on its 12μ flux from the Point-Source Catalog.

The remaining stellar identifications include possible examples of the “Vega phenomenon”, reradiation from large grains perhaps representing the remnant of a protoplanetary disk. They are listed in Table 5, with identification information from SIMBAD and distances from *Hipparcos*. Among these, 54 Oph is a double with the brighter component tabulated. These stars are unusual in extending to later spectral type than usually associated with protoplanetary disks.

9. Appendix 2: Source Identifications in a Deep Survey Field

The IRAS AO data include a very deep set of scans of a region in Hercules near (1950) 1632+3815, outlining a roughly N-S field 1.5° in length. This region, about 2° NW of M13, has an unusually low level of IR cirrus emission for its galactic latitude, allowing a very deep

set of source extractions and identifications, reaching to 0.01 Jy at 60μ . We have considered the nature of all 31 sources identified in this field (Table 6) as a probe of faint FIR populations in general. Of these, only two (both SAO stars) are found in the Faint-Source Catalog. The original CRI data products are no longer available, so we have assessed the noise level using the gridded IRAS products from the Astronomical Data Center, adding the 8 constituent observations. This gives $1 - \sigma$ point-source errors 0.004-0.006 Jy, so that only the weakest source we consider is as faint as 3σ . There is enough cirrus emission for the detection threshold to change across the field. We rely mainly on the 60μ source list; only three additional sources might be real at 100μ . At 25μ , the only detections are the two Seyfert galaxies, SAO stars 1 and 6, an additional star 3, and source 11. The numbering is consecutive N-S among 60μ detections.

We obtained R images of all these sources except the brighter SAO star, equally divided between the KPNO 2.1m (TI CCD, binned at $0.39''/\text{pixel}$) and Lowell 1.1m (TI CCD with focal reducer, $0.71''/\text{pixel}$). Eight sources with obvious optical counterparts were examined spectroscopically with the WHT and Faint-Object Spectrograph (section 2.2). In-scan position uncertainties yield error ellipses elongated E-W in this field. The sources are listed in Table 6. Two of them are Seyfert galaxies, both of which are bright enough and spectrally warm enough to be included in our main all-sky sample. Source 4 in this field is IRAS-S 16320+3730, while source 8 is IRAS-L 16327+3747. In addition, source number 2 is W Herculis, an OH/IR Mira-type star whose dst properties have been derived from the IRAS PSC by Hashimoto (1994).

As is the case for the main AO AGN sample, we can improve the quality of source identifications by exploiting the strong connection between far-IR and radio flux. Eight of these IRAS sources have a FIRST 20-cm source within $90''$; in one case, there are two FIRST sources, one associated with a bright disk galaxy and the other a blank field. IRAS source 20 is associated with the blazar 1633+382 (B2 1633+28, 4C +38.41) at $z = 1.814$, showing strong radio emission with a 20-cm flux density of 2 Jy. The source identifications include a starburst galaxy at $z = 0.0974$ (number 9) and a bright outlying member of a rich group or cluster (number 15). Our initial identification in that case was the central cD galaxy, which suggested an analogy with IRAS 09104+4109 (Kleinmann et al. 1988), but the radio source clearly belongs to the secondary elliptical. These source associations are of high significance; the source density above 2 mJy in this region of the FIRST survey would give 1 unrelated source within the sum of 31 IRAS error ellipses. Some of the optical identifications with FIRST coordinates are quite faint, as might be expected from the low IRAS flux levels we reach in this field. As a result, to the extent that the radio-infrared identifications are identical at this flux level, we were less successful in guessing the proper objects for spectroscopy based on the IRAS positions and optical images alone than for

the stronger AGN sample. This underscores the importance of accurate coordinates (often assisted by the radio-infrared correlation) in identification of such faint infrared sources.

The data handling and source extraction used the facilities of the Centraal Rekeninstituut Leiden. The early stages of this project benefited from the encouragement and support of H. van der Laan. IRAS was a joint project of NASA, the UK Science and Engineering Research Council, and the Netherlands Agency for Aerospace Programs (NIVR). We thank the successive directors of Lowell Observatory, Jay Gallagher and Bob Millis, for important time allocations. Mrs. Heidi Versteeg carried out the checks for duplicate detections from multiple observations. This work has benefitted from NASA's *SkyView* facility (<http://skyview.gsfc.nasa.gov>) located at NASA Goddard Space Flight Center, and from the rich harvests of data provided by the VLA FIRST and NVSS surveys. We thank Peter Allan for providing software to help us unscramble WHT Starlink data far from the UK, and Roque de los Muchachos mountain staff for their work in recovering operations after the summit was threatened by wildfire, in time for us to use the entire WHT observing run. This research has made use of the NASA/IPAC Extragalactic Database (NED), which is operated by the Jet Propulsion Laboratory, Caltech, under contract with the National Aeronautics and Space Administration. This publication makes use of data products from the Two Micron All Sky Survey, which is a joint project of the University of Massachusetts and the Infrared Processing and Analysis Center/California Institute of Technology, funded by the National Aeronautics and Space Administration and the National Science Foundation. The Digitized Sky Survey is based on photographic data obtained using the Oschin Schmidt Telescope on Palomar Mountain. The Palomar Observatory Sky Survey was funded by the National Geographic Society. The Oschin Schmidt Telescope is operated by the California Institute of Technology and Palomar Observatory. The plates were processed into the present compressed digital format with their permission. The Digitized Sky Survey was produced at the Space Telescope Science Institute (ST ScI) under U. S. Government grant NAG W-2166. Thanks to Lisa Frattare for help with some of the imaging observations at Lowell.

REFERENCES

- Alexander, D. M. 2001, MNRAS, 320, L15
- Baldwin, J.A., Phillips, M.M., & Terlevich, R. 1981 PASP 93, 5.
- Becker, R.H., White, R.L., & Helfand, D.J. 1995, ApJ 450, 559

- Condon, J.J., Cotton, W.D., Greisen, E.W., Yin, Q.F., Perley, R.A., Taylor, G.B. & Broderick, J.J. 1998 AJ 115, 1693
- Cutri, R. M., Nelson, B. O., Huchra, J. P., & Smith, P. S. 2000, Bulletin of the American Astronomical Society, 32, 1520
- de Grijp, M.H.K., Miley, G.K., Lub, J., & de Jong, T. 1985, Nature 314, 240
- de Grijp, M.H.K., Lub, J., & Miley, G.K. 1987 A&AS 70, 95
- de Grijp, M.H.K., Keel, W.C., Miley, G.K., Goudfrooij, P. & Lub, J. 1992 A&AS 96, 389
- Fehmers, G. C., de Grijp, M. H. K., Miley, G. K., & Keel, W. C. 1994, A&AS, 108, 61
- Gallimore, J. F., & Keel, W. C. 1993, AJ, 106, 1337
- Gu Q.S., Huang J.H., Shang Z.H., Su H.J. & Zhu J., 1995, Ap&SS, 229,317
- Gu, Q.S., Huang, J.H., Su, H.J., & Shang, Z.H. A&A 319, 92 (1997)
- Hashimoto, O. 1994, A&AS, 107, 445
- Huchra, J. & Burg, R. 1992, ApJ, 393, 90
- Keel, W.C., de Grijp, M.H.K., & and Miley, G.K. 1988, A&A 203, 250.
- Keel, W.C., de Grijp, M.H.K., Miley, G.K. & Zheng, W. 1994 A&A 283, 791
- Keel, W. C. 1996, PASP, 108, 917
- Kleinmann, S.G., Cutri, R.M., Young, E.T., Low, F.J. & Gillett, F.C. 1986, IRAS Serendipitous Survey Catalog (Tucson, Univ. of Arizona)
- Kleinmann, S.G., Hamilton, D., Keel, W.C., Wynn-Williams, C.G., Eales, S.A., Becklin, E.E. & Kuntz, K.D. 1988, ApJ 328, 161.
- Lawrence, A., Saunders, W., Rowan-Robinson, M., Crawford, J., Ellis, R. S., Frenk, C. S., Efstathiou, G., & Kaiser, N. 1988, MNRAS, 235, 261
- Lipari, S., Macchetto, F. D., & Golombek, D. 1991, ApJ, 366, L65
- Lipovetsky, V. A., Neizvestny, S. I., & Neizvestnaya, O. M. 1988, Soobshcheniya Spetsial'noj Astrofizicheskoy Observatorii, 55, 5
- Low, F. J., Cutri, R. M., Huchra, J. P., & Kleinmann, S. G. 1988, ApJ, 327, L41

- Low, F. J., Cutri, R. M., Kleinmann, S. G., & Huchra, J. P. 1989, *ApJ*, 340, L1
- Meurer, G. R., Heckman, T. M., Leitherer, C., Kinney, A., Robert, C., & Garnett, D. R. 1995, *AJ*, 110, 2665
- Moshir, M., Kopman, G., & Conrow, T. A. O. 1992, *IRAS Faint Source Survey, Explanatory supplement version 2*, Pasadena: Infrared Processing and Analysis Center, California Institute of Technology.
- Patten, B. M., & Willson, L. A. 1991, *AJ*, 102, 323
- Reddy, B. E., & Parthasarathy, M. 1996, *AJ*, 112, 2053
- Rowan-Robinson, M. et al. 1991, *Nature*, 351, 719
- Veilleux, S. & Osterbrock, D.E. 1987, *ApJS*, 63, 295
- Witt, A. N., Thronson, H. A., & Capuano, J. M. 1992, *ApJ*, 393, 611
- Zheng, W. & Keel, W. C. 1991, *ApJ*, 382, 121

Fig. 1.— Identification charts for warm sources from the IRAS AO data. Each error ellipse is 60×120 arcseconds, oriented with the short axis in the better-determined in-scan direction. Unless otherwise labelled, images are R -band data from the Lowell 1.1-m Hall telescope; only two fields (showing DSS O data) are not from red-light images. Vertical and horizontal tick marks indicate spectroscopic identifications, and circles indicate positions of radio sources. Each field shown is 3×3 arcminutes with north at the top. In a few fields, IPCS spectra showed no emission lines in bright galaxies; these are indicated.

Fig. 2.— Spectra of identified AGN, all shown in the observed wavelength frame, and marked with the emission-line classification. One object, IRAS-L 17544+4347, a Seyfert 2 at $z = 0.07288$, was observed after figure preparation and is not plotted, while the composite spectrum of IRAS-L 12266+3240 is shown in detail in Fig. 3. The flux scale is in units of $10^{-16} \text{ erg cm}^{-2} \text{ s}^{-1} \text{ \AA}^{-1}$.

Fig. 3.— JHK colors, from the 2MASS catalog releases, as a function of $R - K$ between our CCD measures and the 2MASS data. Symbol types distinguish classifications based on the optical spectrum. We detect only a single object which satisfies the definition of a “2MASS red AGN”. Note that the yet-unidentified objects, with no firm spectroscopic identification, are systematically redder in JHK than the identified objects, which could mean that some of them represent heavily obscured active nuclei. While there is a general relation between $R - K$ and the JHK colors, enough outliers appear to suggest that host-galaxy starlight dominates the flux in many objects.

newfig 3 JHK

Fig. 4.— The composite AGN+post-starburst spectrum of IRAS-L 12266+3240 at $z = 0.173$. The WHT FOS and higher-resolution KPNO Cryogenic Camera (boxcar-smoothed to resolution of $12 \text{ \AA}$) data are superimposed. Features of a stellar population several 10^8 years old dominate, with strong Balmer absorption at $H\beta$ and shortward. Both datasets appear to show a blue component in the Na D lines, a potential signature of a strong high-velocity starburst wind, but this spectral region would be contaminated by incompletely corrected telluric B-band absorption, so the feature may not be astronomical in origin. For comparison, we show the spectrum of NGC 4569 (shifted downward by 0.7 units), which is well fitted by a 10^8 -year burst for the optical spectrum (Keel 1996). Important emission and absorption features are marked, with telluric absorption and night-sky residuals indicated by the “earth” symbol.

Fig. 5.— ESO 3.6 EFOSC spectrum of the symbiotic star IRAS-L 13446-3625. The symbiotic classification is driven by the large ionization range in the emission lines, with [O I], [O II], and [O III] comparably strong. The cool stellar contribution is especially marked in the

sharp TiO bandheads. Important emission and absorption features are marked, as well as night-sky residuals at 5577 Å .

Table 1. IRAS Properties of Program Sources

α_{50}	δ_{50}	List	F ₁₂	F ₂₅	F ₆₀	F ₁₀₀	Conf?
00 04 12.0	-55 21 41	L	0.05	0.08	0.09	1.28	NC
00 05 06.2	06 16 26	L	0.13	0.29	0.25	< 0.25	
00 16 48.4	26 37 42	L	< 0.04	0.16	0.36	1.10	
00 17 54.6	15 03 34	L	0.00	0.11	0.36	0.00	
00 21 56.2	-72 20 54	S	< 0.08	0.48	0.19	< 0.45	
00 31 53.0	-41 38 56	L	< 0.04	0.10	0.75	0.92	
00 32 50.0	-79 20 07	S	< 0.07	0.18	0.20	< 0.41	
00 55 38.2	29 37 22	L	0.00	0.00	0.29	0.33	NC
01 16 33.3	31 46 53	L	0.00	0.15	0.34	0.00	
01 19 34.4	86 49 46	L	< 0.03	0.08	0.43	0.57	
01 20 08.4	25 07 31	L	4.98	2.21	0.45	0.43	
01 21 35.3	-35 19 51	S	0.25	0.46	0.31	< 0.60	
01 21 50.9	-59 04 01	S	0.39	0.59	0.60	0.74	
01 41 50.6	-43 51 54	L	< 0.03	0.16	0.30	0.51	
01 50 08.4	-23 34 44	L	0.00	0.18	0.31	0.00	
01 58 50.1	-58 25 37	L	0.10	0.20	0.21	0.48	
02 03 22.9	32 05 07	L	0.00	0.00	0.29	0.35	
02 05 15.3	02 28 08	S	< 0.07	0.13	0.10	< 0.24	
02 20 26.2	35 12 38	S	< 0.06	0.29	0.15	0.59	
02 24 45.0	10 17 48	S	< 0.11	2.33	1.18	< 0.57	
02 31 44.7	-39 05 15	L	0.00	0.00	0.45	0.60	
02 42 51.5	-72 30 25	S	0.11	0.12	0.31	< 0.45	
03 06 13.7	10 51 46	L	0.13	0.32	0.34	< 0.25	
03 17 59.6	-72 02 08	S	< 0.09	0.13	0.40	0.65	
03 19 33.0	-36 44 48	L	0.00	0.04	0.14	0.00	
03 53 22.5	26 06 19	S	< 0.07	0.17	0.46	1.32	
03 53 41.0	-74 11 32	L	11.63	4.15	1.02	0.88	
04 11 27.6	11 50 38	L	0.15	0.28	0.21	< 0.25	
04 14 50.7	-62 32 10	L	0.00	0.04	0.14	0.80	
04 21 29.0	-56 18 44	S	< 0.07	0.18	0.13	1.02	
04 55 28.8	-70 31 45	L	< 0.04	0.27	0.78	1.65	
04 59 41.4	-70 35 48	L	< 0.04	0.44	0.78	2.86	
05 06 18.9	-61 22 09	L	0.00	0.05	0.27	0.35	
05 11 36.7	-33 23 28	S	< 0.07	0.11	0.23	0.36	

Table 1—Continued

α_{50}	δ_{50}	List	F ₁₂	F ₂₅	F ₆₀	F ₁₀₀	Conf?
05 14 12.3	-01 54 42	S	0.98	1.32	1.00	1.22	
05 18 59.2	-25 24 36	L	0.70	3.44	15.64	15.30	NC
05 23 56.2	-06 26 30	S	1.47	1.96	1.27	< 0.61	
05 25 29.4	-40 10 05	L	0.00	0.00	0.30	0.38	NC
05 25 48.0	-63 39 20	S	0.16	0.36	0.18	< 0.50	
05 26 07.2	-20 40 04	L	0.00	0.08	0.16	0.00	
05 35 40.8	-28 39 10	L	0.136	0.08	0.13	< 0.21	
05 56 20.7	-38 20 11	S	0.52	0.70	0.39	< 0.37	
05 57 28.5	-67 13 38	S	< 0.08	0.08	0.16	< 0.39	
06 10 12.5	70 46 24	L	0.00	0.00	0.23	0.31	
06 22 55.3	-64 34 43	L	0.04	0.08	0.14	< 0.24	
06 24 18.6	-53 16 14	L	0.07	0.05	0.06	0.36	
06 36 08.3	-62 17 58	L	< 0.03	0.14	1.95	2.44	
06 38 34.3	-51 22 36	L	< 0.09	0.13	0.44	0.88	
06 46 49.1	-74 24 58	S	< 0.06	0.12	0.37	0.55	
06 55 35.5	54 15 57	S	0.10	0.20	0.32	0.62	
07 22 39.6	31 48 04	L	0.00	0.00	0.74	0.73	NC
08 02 00.3	10 55 00	L	0.00	0.15	0.50	1.48	NC
08 04 27.1	-71 26 59	L	0.00	0.06	0.14	0.00	
08 22 48.8	29 27 12	L	0.00	0.00	1.20	1.60	
08 23 17.6	-77 04 32	L	0.00	0.05	0.16	0.00	
08 29 15.6	04 39 36	S	< 0.12	0.33	0.42	< 0.54	
08 33 56.8	65 17 43	L	0.26	1.33	6.73	8.63	
08 37 01.4	29 59 17	S	< 0.08	0.19	0.45	0.62	
08 38 26.5	77 04 05	S	< 0.08	0.13	0.20	0.38	
08 46 53.5	19 41 40	S	0.15	0.39	0.24	< 0.30	
08 51 55.9	20 18 03	S	0.22	0.47	0.89	1.34	
09 15 40.1	42 13 13	L	0.00	0.11	0.26	0.00	
09 18 57.4	46 20 02	L	0.00	0.03	0.11	0.00	
09 30 21.1	22 23 25	L	0.00	0.00	0.29	0.36	
09 32 51.4	-14 36 50	L	0.08	0.18	0.21	< 0.15	
09 37 10.8	36 20 02	L	2.70	1.11	0.26	0.34	
09 42 18.0	-08 19 24	L	0.00	0.00	0.28	0.36	
09 42 40.2	-19 29 09	L	0.15	0.87	4.24	4.81	

Table 1—Continued

α_{50}	δ_{50}	List	F ₁₂	F ₂₅	F ₆₀	F ₁₀₀	Conf?
09 44 17.1	-07 44 53	L	0.00	0.00	0.34	0.34	
10 11 59.6	-00 52 36	L	0.29	0.11	0.10	0.33	
10 14 57.4	21 02 36	L	0.00	0.00	0.23	0.34	
10 36 02.9	-06 54 46	S	0.72	4.78	15.21	17.53	
10 57 06.5	72 39 00	L	0.00	0.05	0.12	0.27	
11 50 46.8	58 23 49	L	0.00	0.11	0.31	0.78	
11 54 54.5	73 27 30	L	0.00	0.08	0.14	0.00	
12 06 15.9	68 11 20	L	0.00	0.00	0.24	0.31	
12 10 50.9	37 03 46	L	< 0.04	0.16	0.59	1.09	
12 17 57.5	30 13 31	L	0.00	0.00	0.19	0.27	
12 21 30.8	11 07 36	L	0.00	0.00	0.51	0.69	NC
12 23 53.9	48 46 00	L	0.00	0.06	0.52	0.62	
12 26 37.8	32 40 45	L	0.00	0.00	0.71	1.03	
12 29 32.4	14 13 57	L	< 0.08	0.25	0.63	0.86	NC
12 39 45.5	33 33 33	L	0.09	0.26	0.49	< 0.21	
12 41 02.3	39 23 42	L	0.00	0.00	0.20	0.28	
12 49 52.2	27 21 28	L	0.00	0.00	0.31	0.37	
12 58 19.4	28 47 09	L	0.00	0.00	0.23	0.29	
13 20 44.8	55 10 48	L	0.00	0.06	0.16	0.00	NC
13 44 38.7	-36 25 11	L	0.34	0.78	0.78	< 0.30	
13 55 39.3	69 51 08	L	< 0.04	0.07	0.20	0.51	
14 03 00.7	53 38 10	L	0.00	0.09	0.19	0.30	NC
14 07 14.2	54 56 51	L	0.00	0.00	0.23	0.33	NC
14 13 34.5	13 34 20	L	0.00	0.07	0.26	0.26	
14 39 01.3	35 24 20	L	0.00	0.04	0.14	0.47	
14 39 59.6	36 05 34	L	0.00	0.00	0.32	0.33	
14 40 04.9	35 39 02	S	0.10	0.20	0.65	1.03	
15 03 21.3	27 15 40	L	0.00	0.07	0.85	1.02	
15 11 16.2	11 08 03	L	0.00	0.00	0.32	0.44	
15 12 36.8	36 40 51	L	0.00	0.00	0.25	0.35	
15 21 39.3	30 15 39	L	< 0.03	0.05	0.11	0.34	
15 26 07.1	55 02 17	L	0.00	0.00	0.60	0.78	
15 34 45.3	58 04 10	S	0.11	0.24	0.21	< 0.41	
15 35 47.9	59 34 08	L	< 0.03	0.09	0.28	0.74	

Table 1—Continued

α_{50}	δ_{50}	List	F ₁₂	F ₂₅	F ₆₀	F ₁₀₀	Conf?
15 56 12.6	26 00 02	L	0.07	0.11	0.32	< 0.19	
15 56 58.6	25 40 41	L	< 0.03	0.06	0.80	0.72	
16 07 32.9	29 58 49	L	0.48	0.13	0.10	< 0.09	
16 11 52.7	34 45 50	L	0.00	0.05	0.13	0.00	
16 15 15.0	47 03 52	L	0.00	0.00	0.22	0.20	
16 16 50.3	47 42 50	L	< 0.03	0.06	0.16	0.45	
16 28 44.7	42 24 32	L	0.00	0.00	0.41	0.57	
16 32 01.7	37 30 27	S	< 0.06	0.06	0.18	< 0.25	
16 32 43.9	37 47 59	L	< 0.02	0.04	0.08	0.26	
16 34 53.8	70 38 18	S	< 0.07	0.14	0.33	< 0.40	
16 34 57.5	46 52 24	S	< 0.06	0.11	0.31	< 0.33	
16 35 49.2	42 33 27	L	0.00	0.00	0.27	0.36	NC
16 39 35.6	39 23 16	L	< 0.02	0.04	0.29	0.38	
16 50 56.2	40 00 51	L	< 0.02	0.05	0.35	0.29	
16 53 43.2	-80 27 53	S	< 0.09	0.12	0.36	< 0.76	
16 55 12.9	27 55 44	L	0.00	0.09	0.28	1.12	
17 02 19.0	60 37 52	L	0.00	0.00	0.16	0.20	
17 04 04.9	60 40 50	S	< 0.08	0.15	0.49	0.78	
17 07 47.0	45 51 29	L	0.02	0.20	0.32	27.11	
17 08 02.3	13 47 50	L	0.08	0.32	1.68	2.03	
17 08 06.6	46 43 22	L	0.00	0.06	0.18	0.00	
17 12 24.0	09 48 07	L	0.08	0.09	0.16	< 0.17	
17 19 10.3	50 25 23	S	< 0.08	0.11	0.33	< 0.38	
17 22 19.4	19 06 46	L	0.00	0.11	0.62	0.52	
17 23 35.2	46 02 38	L	< 0.04	0.14	1.22	1.42	NC
17 28 51.0	07 44 04	L	0.00	0.09	0.13	0.00	
17 31 28.8	59 58 58	S	< 0.08	0.19	0.46	0.91	
17 32 03.7	13 11 40	S	< 0.05	0.29	0.18	< 0.30	
17 43 34.1	31 41 24	L	< 0.03	0.08	1.73	2.60	
17 46 46.3	56 00 06	L	0.00	0.00	0.26	0.39	
17 47 28.0	56 12 00	L	< 0.03	0.12	0.76	0.78	
17 49 21.1	24 57 55	L	0.00	0.00	0.40	0.48	
17 54 00.2	18 59 40	L	0.26	0.08	0.11	< 0.20	
17 54 22.1	42 01 30	S	< 0.05	0.14	0.11	< 0.30	

Table 1—Continued

α_{50}	δ_{50}	List	F ₁₂	F ₂₅	F ₆₀	F ₁₀₀	Conf?
17 54 26.5	43 47 14	L	0.00	0.09	0.40	0.40	NC
17 54 56.5	70 58 42	L	0.00	0.06	0.13	0.00	
17 55 41.2	43 29 47	L	0.25	0.07	0.07	0.30	
17 56 06.1	67 20 29	L	0.00	0.08	0.56	0.79	
18 11 12.8	53 39 44	L	0.00	0.00	0.48	0.63	NC
18 11 21.7	53 34 06	L	0.00	0.00	0.83	1.00	
18 24 56.7	50 54 16	L	10.82	4.36	0.75	0.65	
18 26 45.4	50 44 21	L	< 0.04	0.08	0.98	1.30	NC
18 33 28.4	-65 28 10	S	0.54	2.24	2.19	1.40	
18 35 48.3	43 31 59	S	< 0.05	0.05	0.14	< 0.34	
18 38 30.5	42 53 18	L	0.00	0.00	0.15	0.16	NC
18 39 47.5	42 56 46	L	0.00	0.13	0.35	0.00	NC
18 45 03.0	59 07 46	L	0.00	0.00	0.64	0.83	
18 54 24.7	-55 20 30	L	< 0.02	0.11	0.33	2.63	
19 02 39.0	-62 09 37	L	0.00	0.00	0.23	0.33	
19 05 06.7	-62 33 33	L	< 0.03	0.13	0.39	0.72	
19 24 28.0	-41 40 42	L	0.00	0.40	1.80	1.73	NC
19 44 59.0	69 59 56	L	< 0.02	0.15	0.26	0.82	NC
19 46 32.5	-67 29 43	S	< 0.08	0.16	0.49	< 0.56	
19 47 59.7	-68 21 26	L	< 0.03	0.06	0.58	0.823	
20 04 33.6	-66 38 21	L	0.54	0.17	0.16	< 0.14	
20 11 07.2	-61 03 47	L	0.00	0.15	0.27	0.00	
20 11 38.3	-71 44 27	L	0.00	0.00	0.74	0.96	NC
20 14 16.8	-12 15 26	L	< 0.08	0.35	1.18	5.87	
20 28 59.4	-12 53 12	L	1.07	1.19	0.83	< 0.22	
20 46 54.4	-52 42 17	L	0.00	0.00	0.16	0.13	
21 13 24.8	-39 22 32	L	0.00	0.00	0.11	0.14	
21 27 32.8	-43 21 58	L	< 0.03	0.08	1.00	0.92	
21 33 01.1	-64 51 09	L	0.00	0.09	0.26	0.00	NC
21 33 30.5	18 16 33	L	0.00	0.00	0.16	0.21	
21 47 40.9	-27 06 13	L	< 0.04	0.16	1.18	1.62	NC
21 54 12.6	-07 59 43	L	0.00	0.17	0.67	0.56	
21 56 01.6	-31 04 41	L	0.08	0.11	0.21	0.50	NC
21 59 26.8	-51 07 49	L	0.00	0.00	0.20	0.25	NC

Table 1—Continued

α_{50}	δ_{50}	List	F ₁₂	F ₂₅	F ₆₀	F ₁₀₀	Conf?
22 03 01.8	-71 46 22	L	0.00	0.00	0.18	0.20	
22 09 25.2	15 47 30	L	1.37	0.37	0.27	< 0.21	
22 21 14.5	-02 21 34	S	< 0.09	0.33	0.27	0.59	
22 23 11.7	-05 12 04	S	< 0.11	0.37	0.88	1.66	
22 51 08.1	-18 05 20	L	0.15	0.20	0.15	< 0.18	NC
22 55 42.9	07 33 10	L	< 0.04	0.15	0.52	1.06	
23 03 41.4	11 09 14	L	< 0.03	0.12	0.80	1.12	
23 12 50.4	-59 19 38	L	0.24	1.60	11.98	14.02	
23 50 24.3	26 12 33	L	0.00	0.00	0.35	0.42	
23 51 03.2	-68 27 08	L	0.00	0.00	0.32	0.35	
23 59 14.2	-77 13 46	L	0.00	0.08	0.27	0.60	

Note. — Fluxes are in Jy. The notation NC shows objects for which confirmation through multiple scans was not possible.

Table 2. Spectroscopic Identifications

Object	α_{2000}	δ_{2000}	z	Instrument	Class	Comments
00168+2637	00 19 27.5	+26 54 24	0.06337	WHT	H II	
00179+1503	00 20 32.6	+15 20 51	0.03953	WHT	H II	reddened
00556+2937	00 58 21.4	+29 53 28	0.08070	KP2m	H II	
01165+3146	01 19 22.0	+32 02 39	0.05743	WHT	Sy1	
02033+3205	02 06 16.4	+32 19 09	0.03219	KP2m	LINER	
02052+0228	02 07 49.9	+02 42 55	0.15569	KP2m	Sy1/QSO	
05254-4010	05 27 08.8	-40 07 24	0.17447	EFOSC	H II	old population
05261-2040	05 28 14.0	-20 37 48	0.02851	EFOSC	LINER	
06229-6434	06 23 07.6	-64 36 20	0.12888	EFOSC	Sy1	strong Fe II
06361-6217	06 36 35.8	-62 20 33	0.16005	EFOSC	LINER	
08020+1055	08 04 46.4	+10 46 37	0.03549	EFOSC	Sy1	reddened
08339+6517	08 38 22.9	+65 07 16	0.0187	INT		
09426-1929	09 44 59.7	-19 42 45	0.0327	INT	starburst	Arp 252, pair
10149+2102	10 17 40.7	+20 47 44	0.10913	KP4m	H α only	
10360-0654	10 38 33.9	-07 10 14	0.00729	EFOSC	starburst	strong WR lines
10570+7239	11 00 38.4	+72 22 51	0.02889	KP4m	Sy1	reddened
11507+5823	11 53 26.4	+58 06 45	0.06520	KP4m	Sy2	
11549+7327	11 57 35.0	+73 10 38	0.51100	KP4m	QSO	strong Fe II
12062+6811	12 08 42.0	+67 54 48	0.23199	WHT	H α only	
12108+3703	12 13 16.5	+36 47 34	0.08742	KP4m	Sy2	
12179+3013	12 20 27.3	+29 57 05	0.06065	FOS	Sy1	reddened
12215+1107	12 24 02.8	+10 50 56	0.02568	FOS	H α only	
12238+4846	12 26 15.7	+48 29 39	0.00136	KP4m	starburst	
12266+3240	12 29 06.9	+32 24 18	0.17179	KP4m	Sy2/LINER	stellar absorption
12295+1413	12 32 04.5	+13 57 22	0.06345	FOS	Sy2	
12397+3333	12 42 10.5	+33 17 03	0.04436	FOS	Sy2	
12410+3923	12 43 25.9	+39 07 23	0.09990	WHT	H II	reddened
12498+2721	12 52 17.7	+27 05 08	0.02128	KP4m	LINER	
12583+2847	13 00 40.7	+28 31 12	0.03037	KP4m	starburst	
13207+5510	13 22 49.2	+54 55 29	0.06604	KP4m	Sy1	
13556+6951	13 56 47.4	+69 37 12	0.03496	IPCS	Sy2	
14030+5338	14 04 52.6	+53 23 33	0.08103	KP4m	Sy 2	
14071+5446	14 08 55.5	+54 43 05	0.04116	KP4m	Sy2/H II	reddened
14135+1334	14 15 58.8	+13 20 24	0.2467	WHT		
14390+3524	14 41 05.8	+35 11 40	0.12501	KP4m	H II	
14399+3605	14 42 01.0	+35 52 58	0.14787	WHT	H II	
14400+3539	14 42 07.4	+35 26 23	0.07755	KP4m	Sy 1	strong Fe II
15033+2715	15 05 34.9	+27 03 30	0.26156	KP4m	H II	
15112+1108	15 13 42.4	+10 56 53	0.06635	KP4m	Sy2	
15126+3640	15 14 37.5	+36 29 39	0.06426	WHT	H α only	
15216+3015	15 23 42.1	+30 05 02	0.11041	WHT	Sy 2	

Table 2—Continued

Object	α_{2000}	δ_{2000}	z	Instrument	Class	Comments
15261+5502	15 27 26.8	+54 51 51	0.22803	WHT	H II	
15347+5804	15 35 52.3	+57 54 11	0.03077	KP4m	Sy1	
15357+5934	15 36 48.0	+59 23 52	0.01017	KP4m		NGC 5976; reddened
15561+2600	15 58 18.7	+25 51 25	0.07218	FOS	Sy1	
15569+2640	15 59 05.6	+25 32 12	0.07169	KP4m	H II	reddened
16118+3445	16 13 42.2	+34 38 33	0.26824	WHT	Sy2	
16168+4742	16 18 18.3	+47 35 31	0.10967	IPCS	H II	
16287+4224	16 30 19.3	+42 18 21	0.07000	WHT	H II	
16320+3730	16 33 45.0	+37 23 36	0.17490	KP4m	Sy2	
16327+3747	16 34 30.8	+37 41 44	0.09954	KP4m	Sy2	
16349+4652	16 36 20.4	+46 46 39	0.22315	WHT	H α only	
16395+3923	16 41 15.1	+39 17 26	0.03134	KP4m	H II	
16509+4000	16 52 34.7	+39 55 46	0.27616	WHT	H II	
16552+2755	16 57 16.2	+27 50 59	0.03366	KP4m	Sy	NGC 6264 (W of 2)
17023+6037	17 02 56.7	+60 33 47	0.1041	KP4m	H α /[N II] only	
17040+6040	17 04 39.0	+60 36 51	0.16538	WHT	H α only	
17077+4551	17 09 12.6	+45 47 45	0.06932	KP4m	H α /[N II] only	
17080+1347	17 10 18.2	+13 44 06	0.0312	KP4m	H II	
17081+4643	17 09 28.3	+46 39 35	0.31516	WHT	Sy2	
17191+5025	17 20 29.5	+50 22 37	0.02441	KP4m	Sy2	
17223+1906	17 24 33.2	+19 04 10	0.05398	KP4m	H II	
17235+4602	17 25 00.4	+45 59 46	0.06290	WHT	H II	
17314+5958	17 32 12.4	+59 56 27	0.02747	KP4m	Sy	Akn 522
17435+3141	17 45 28.3	+31 40 21	0.07349	WHT		reddened; comp at matching z
17467+5600	17 47 35.6	+55 58 52	0.11273	KP4m	H α /[N II] only	
17493+2457	17 51 24.1	+24 57 18	0.07259	WHT	H II	
17543+4201	17 55 56.9	+42 00 59	0.22888	WHT	LINER?	
17544+4347	17 55 58.1	+43 47 12	0.07288	KP4m	Sy 2	
17561+6720	17 55 55.2	+67 20 05	0.05079	KP4m		reddened
18112+5339	18 12 16.7	+53 40 37	0.17016	WHT	LINER	
18397+4256	18 41 18.5	+42 59 42	0.04967	KP4m		
19051-6233	19 09 38.9	-62 28 54	0.08538	EFOSC		ESO 104-IG41
20142-1215	20 17 06.3	-12 05 51	0.01995	WHT	LINER	
21330-6451	21 37 00.1	-64 37 59	0.07091	EFOSC	QSO/Sy 1	strong Fe II
21542-0759	21 56 49.5	-07 45 33	0.05475	WHT	H II	
22212-0221	22 23 49.5	-02 06 12	0.05566	WHT	Sy 1	double radio source
22557+0733	22 58 17.4	+07 48 54	0.02876	KP2m	H II	
23036+1109	23 06 12.5	+11 25 37	0.05745	KP2m	H II	
23504+2612	23 52 59.7	+26 29 41	0.02741	KP2m	H II	

Table 3. *R*-Band Photometry of Program Sources

Object	<i>R</i>	Object	<i>R</i>	Object	<i>R</i>	Object	<i>R</i>
00168+2637	15.70	11507+5823	15.77	15112+1108	16.37	17235+4602	15.46
00179+1503	14.94	11549+7327	16.27	15126+3640	15.08	17314+5958	13.40
00556+2937	16.30	12062+6811	20.43	15216+3015	16.27	17435+3141	16.62
01165+3146	14.67	12108+3703	15.45	15261+5502	18.22	17493+2457	17.20
01195+8649	16.61	12179+3013	16.53	15347+5804	14.56	17543+4201	18.77
01501-2334	14.94	12215+1107	15.35	15357+5934	14.04	17561+6720	15.53
02033+3205	15.44	12266+3240	16.67	15561+2600	15.54 15.49	18112+5339	18.23
02052+0228	15.03	12295+1413	16.06	15569+2540	15.49	18267+5044	17.85
03062+1051	14.63	12397+3333	14.46	16118+3445	17.61	18397+4256	15.28
03533+2606	17.05	12410+3923	17.31	16152+4703	20.52	20142-1215	12.77
0353+261	19.04	12498+2721	14.34	16168+4742	17.63	21476-2706	16.40
05254-4010	17.79	12583+2847	15.56	16287+4224	17.38	22212-0221	14.67
05261-2040	16.36	13207+5510	14.34	16320+3730	16.99	22231-0512	14.48
06229-6434	15.05	13446-3625	16.02	16327+3747	17.76	22557+0733	15.35
08020+1055	13.09	13556+6951	14.90	16395+3923	15.41	23036+1109	15.98
08228+2927	18.26	14030+5338	15.68	16509+4000	19.09	23504+2612	16.46
08339+6517	12.64	14071+5446	14.02	16552+2755	13.76		
08370+2959	14.21	14390+3524	16.55	17040+6040	19.19		
09426-1929	14.56	14399+3605	18.66	17081+4643	19.51		
10360-0654	13.05	14400+3539	13.91	17191+5025	13.72		
10570+7239	16.05	15033+2715	19.93	17223+1906	15.46		

Table 4. Radio Identifications of Warm IRAS-AO Sources

Object	α_{2000}	δ_{2000}	Flux (mJy)	Wavelength (cm)
01215-3519	01 23 54.57	-35 03 57	13.1	20 NVSS
01501-2334	01 52 27.00	-23 19 52	4.7	20 NVSS
02317-3905	02 33 44.65	-38 52 21	2.9	20 NVSS
03062+1051	03 08 56.70	+11 03 16	5.38	6
05116-3323	05 13 24.71	-33 20 07	4.8	20 NVSS
05239-0626	05 26 19.02	-06 24 04	4.7	20 NVSS
05254-4010	05 27 08.95	-40 07 18	5.5	20 NVSS
05563-3820	05 58 2.20	-38 20 02	34.6	20 NVSS
06555+5415	06 59 38.14	+54 11 47	16.1	20 FIRST
08020+1055	08 04 46.40	+10 46 36	21.9	20 FIRST
08339+6517	8 38 23.29	+65 07 14	33.7	20 NVSS
09328-1436	09 35 11.36	-14 50 02	9.1	20 NVSS
09426-1929	09 44 59.76	-19 42 44	97.6	20 NVSS
09442-0744	09 46 45.88	-07 59 44	3.4	20 NVSS
10360-0654	10 38 33.62	-07 10 14	51.5	20 FIRST
11507+5823	11 53 04.06	+57 50 02	2.90	6
11549+7327	11 57 35.54	+73 10 36	21.28	6
12062+6811	12 08 42.28	+67 54 56	2.3	20 NVSS
12108+3703	12 13 16.50	+36 47 33	1.64	6
12295+1413	12 32 04.50	+13 57 22	1.13	6
12215+1107	12 24 02.89	+10 50 56	1.8	20 FIRST
12238+4646	12 26 17.89	+48 29 26	4.5	20 FIRST
12266+3240	12 29 06.90	+32 24 18	3.0	20 FIRST
12295+1413	12 32 04.50	+13 57 22	1.9	20 FIRST
12397+3333	12 42 10.63	+33 17 03	6.3	20 FIRST
12583+2847	13 00 40.77	+28 31 16	6.3	20 NVSS
13207+5510	13 22 49.21	+54 55 28	8.9	20 FIRST
13556+6951	13 56 40.77	+69 35 42	28.0	20 NVSS
14030+5338	14 04 52.63	+53 23 32	1.9	20 FIRST
1439+354	14 41 08.41	+35 11 52	0.74	6
	14 41 05.82	+35 11 40	0.64	6
	14 41 05.50	+35 10 08	0.97	6
14400+3539	14 42 07.47	+35 26 23	3.3	20 FIRST
15033+2715	15 05 30.30	+27 04 10	2.1	20 FIRST

Table 4—Continued

Object	α_{2000}	δ_{2000}	Flux (mJy)	Wavelength (cm)
15112+1108	15 13 42.37	+10 56 53	7.6	20 FIRST
15126+3640	15 14 33.12	+36 29 42	0.8	20 FIRST
15216+3015	15 23:42.09	+30 05 02	0.58	6
15261+5502	15 27 26.70	+54 51 51	1.5	20 FIRST
15347+5804	15 35 52.42	+57 54 10	5.1	20 FIRST
15562+2600	15 58 18.75	+25 51 25	2.4	20 FIRST
15569+2540	15 59 05.63	+25 32 12	2.1	20 FIRST
nl 16075+2958	16 09 29.47	+29 50 51	0.6	20 FIRST
16118+3445	16 13 42.24	+34 38 32	1.11	6
16152+4703	16 16 42.65	+46 56 48	4.1	20 FIRST
16287+4224	16 30 19.38	+42 18 19	2.0	20 FIRST
16320+3730	16 33 44.98	+37 23 35	1.0	20 FIRST
16320+3730	16 33 45.31	+37 22 53	0.42	6
16349+4652	16 36 18.11	+46 46 30	2.2	20 FIRST
16552+2755	16 57 10.08	+27 50 14	2.0	20 FIRST
17077+4551	17 09 12.59	+45 47 44	0.46	6
17081+4643	17 09 28.32	+46 49 35	5.76	6
17124+0948	17 14 43.17	+09 45 00	0.93	6
17191+5025	17 20 29.57	+50 22 04	5.2	20 FIRST
17223+1906	17 24 33.33	+19 04 01	4.0	20 NVSS
17235+4602	17 25 00.37	+45 59 45	4.5	20 FIRST
17435+3141	17 45 28.39	+31 40 19	7.4	20 NVSS
17549+7058	17 56 05.97	+42 00 59	0.44	6
18112+5339	18 12 17.22	+53 40 29	2.4	20 NVSS
18267+5044	18 28 00.28	+50 46 20	32.5	20 NVSS
18358+4331	18 37 26.49	+43 34 52	0.43	6
18397+4256	18 41 18.50	+42 59 41	1.86	6
19449+6959	19 45 04.77	+70 06 39	0.71	6
	19 44 47.02	+70 06 54	0.41	6
20142-1215	20 17 6.44	-12 05 51	28.2	20 NVSS
20289-1253	20 31 49.22	-12 42 38	1.46	6
21476-2706	21 50 34.42	-26 52 10	5.2	20 NVSS
21542-0759	21 56 49.52	-07 45 32	3.7	20 FIRST
21560-3104	21 58 54.97	-30 50 46	3.9	20 NVSS
22511-1805	22 53 56.60	-17 49 14	1.24	6

Table 4—Continued

Object	α_{2000}	δ_{2000}	Flux (mJy)	Wavelength (cm)
23036+1109	23 06 12.46	+11 25 41	5.8	20 NVSS

Table 5. Stellar Identifications of Warm IRAS-AO Sources

Object	α_{1950}	δ_{1950}	ID	Spectral Type	V	$B - V$	Distance, pc
02204+3512	02 20 26.2	+35 12 38	HD 14735	G5	6.76	1.16	225
05239-0626	05 23 56.2	-06 26 30	BD -6° 1178		10.41	0.38	
05356-2839	05 35 40.8	-28 39 10	HD 37484	F3V	7.25	0.38	60
10119-0052	10 11 59.6	-00 52 36	HD 88803	K2	8.12	1.18	255
16075+2958	16 07 32.9	+29 58 49	HD 145373	K5	8.33	1.47	
17320+1311	17 32 03.7	+13 11 40	54 Oph	G8III	6.54	0.94	156
17540+1859	17 54 0.2	+18 59 40	BD +19° 3472	K2	9.34	1.53	
17556+4329	17 55 41.2	+43 29 47	BD +43° 2847	K5	9.07	1.52	
20045-6638	20 04 33.6	-66 38 21					
22094+1547	22 09 25.2	+15 47 30	HD 210702	K1 III	5.94	0.96	

Table 6. $60\mu\text{m}$ Sources in the Hercules Deep Observation

Number	α_{1950}	δ_{1950}	F($60\mu\text{m}$, Jy)	Identification
1	16 32 26.1	+37 26 23	0.07	disk galaxy $z = 0.0292$; FIRST source 51" E
2	16 33 26.4	+37 26 47	0.68	Mira variable W Herculis
3	16 34 02.1	+37 28 50	0.055	
4	16 32 00.3	+37 29 52	0.18	IRAS-S 16320+3730 (Sy2) $z = 0.1749$
5	16 33 58.0	+37 39 35	0.055	
6	16 33 23.7	+37 40 32	0.013	
7	16 33 55.8	+37 42 57	0.022	very faint; FIRST ID
8	16 32 45.1	+37 47 48	0.063	IRAS-L 16327+3747 (Sy2) $z = 0.0995$
9	16 32 40.5	+37 53 13	0.021	starburst galaxy $z = 0.0974$
10	16 31 56.7	+37 53 36	0.020	
11	16 34 16.1	+37 52 43	1.129	11 mJy FIRST source at 77"
12	16 33 09.6	+37 55 39	0.14	
13	16 33 50.4	+37 57 06	0.044	
14	16 32 03.6	+38 01 09	0.045	
15	16 33 36.5	+38 02 31	0.012	FIRST: bright outlier to group/cluster
16	16 34 17.1	+38 05 13	0.039	
17	16 32 22.2	+38 05 50	0.046	
18	16 32 41.3	+38 10 38	0.10	
19	16 32 05.1	+38 14 10	0.064	
20	16 33 31.4	+38 14 30	0.014	blazar B2 1633+28, 4C +38.41 $z = 1.814$
21	16 32 13.3	+38 19 48	0.025	
22	16 32 50.7	+38 27 02	0.040	
23	16 33 40.2	+38 30 20	0.031	
24	16 32 04.6	+38 33 26	0.120	
25	16 34 13.7	+38 39 53	0.092	
26	16 32 28.6	+38 41 58	0.059	faint, diffuse; FIRST ID
27	16 33 00.5	+38 43 56	0.191	
28	16 33 36.0	+38 51 12	0.044	
29	16 34 20.4	+38 52 32	0.041	
30	16 32 23.0	+39 00 02	0.088	
31	16 34 05.3	+39 03 36	0.022	

This figure "fig1a.jpg" is available in "jpg" format from:

<http://arXiv.org/ps/astro-ph/0503080v1>

This figure "fig1b.jpg" is available in "jpg" format from:

<http://arXiv.org/ps/astro-ph/0503080v1>

This figure "fig1c.jpg" is available in "jpg" format from:

<http://arXiv.org/ps/astro-ph/0503080v1>

This figure "fig1d.jpg" is available in "jpg" format from:

<http://arXiv.org/ps/astro-ph/0503080v1>

This figure "fig1e.jpg" is available in "jpg" format from:

<http://arXiv.org/ps/astro-ph/0503080v1>

This figure "fig1f.jpg" is available in "jpg" format from:

<http://arXiv.org/ps/astro-ph/0503080v1>

This figure "fig2a.gif" is available in "gif" format from:

<http://arXiv.org/ps/astro-ph/0503080v1>

This figure "fig2b.gif" is available in "gif" format from:

<http://arXiv.org/ps/astro-ph/0503080v1>

This figure "fig2c.gif" is available in "gif" format from:

<http://arXiv.org/ps/astro-ph/0503080v1>

This figure "fig2d.gif" is available in "gif" format from:

<http://arXiv.org/ps/astro-ph/0503080v1>

This figure "fig3.gif" is available in "gif" format from:

<http://arXiv.org/ps/astro-ph/0503080v1>

This figure "fig4.gif" is available in "gif" format from:

<http://arXiv.org/ps/astro-ph/0503080v1>

This figure "fig5.gif" is available in "gif" format from:

<http://arXiv.org/ps/astro-ph/0503080v1>